

Bruce A. Fredrickson  
Angela M. LeDuc  
Rocky Mountain Law Partners, P.C.  
1830 3rd Avenue East, Suite 301  
P. O. Box 1758  
Kalispell, MT 59903-1758  
Telephone: (406) 314-6011  
Facsimile: (406) 314-6012  
E-mail: bruce@rmtlawp.com  
angie@rmtlawp.com

Chris J. Gallus  
CHRIS J. GALLUS LAW, PLLC  
1423 Otter Road  
Helena, MT 59602  
Telephone: (406) 459-8676  
E-Mail: chrisjgalluslaw@gmail.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA  
MISSOULA DIVISION

TIM ANDREW GARDIPEE; BRUNO  
FRIIA; BRAD MOLNAR; DAVID  
HELMERS, All Individually,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

STATE OF MONTANA; and  
MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF FISH  
WILDLIFE AND PARKS, and  
MONTANA FISH & WILDLIFE  
COMMISSION

Defendants.

9:21-cv-00095-DLC-KLD

**AMENDED COMPLAINT  
and  
Rule 65, F.R.Civ.P Application for  
Temporary Restraining Order,  
Preliminary and Permanent  
Injunction  
and for  
Attorney Fees and Costs**

COME NOW Plaintiffs, Lieutenant Colonel Tim Andrew Gardipee, Retired (“Lt. Col. Gardipee”), Bruno Friia [“Mr. Friia”], Brad Molnar [“Mr. Molnar”], and David Helmers [“Mr. Helmers”], [collectively “Plaintiffs”] with their Amended Complaint and Rule 65, F.R.Civ.P. Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Defendants, State of Montana and Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks [“FWP”] and Montana Fish & Wildlife Commission [“Commission”] [collectively, Defendants are referred to as the “State” or “Defendants”] for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq., the Federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C.A. 701, et seq., Mont. Const. Art. IX, Sec. 7, Art. II, Sec. 35, together with other violations of law as they otherwise pertain to Plaintiffs’ liberties as guaranteed by the United States and Montana Constitutions. Plaintiffs complain, allege and aver as follows:

### **INTRODUCTION**

1. All disabled Americans and all disabled Montanans are protected from exclusion and from being denied benefits conferred by government that substantially limits one or more major life activities when reasonable accommodation can restore their rights to liberty and provide them meaningful access to programs available to the public, including the right to hunt and harvest game animals. Mont. Const., Art. IX, Sec. 7; 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. Montana

veterans are also allowed constitutionally protected “special provisions” as may be provided by the Legislature. Mont. Const., Art. II, Sec. 35.

2. The State receives federal funds through the Federal Pittman-Robertson Restoration Act and spends them on these programs. 16 U.S.C.A, §§ 669 et seq. Hunting is a Montana tradition and is an aspect of Montana societal norms. Hunting is a major life activity to those who enjoy the sport. FWP provides through its employees and citizen commission, stewardship for all Montana’s recreational resources, while contributing to the quality of life for present and future generations. Montana’s constitution guides FWP’s mission. Unique among states, Montana citizens overwhelmingly supported amending their constitution to preserve Montana’s game harvest heritage, making the opportunity to harvest wild fish and wild game animals a constitutional right, which shall forever be preserved for the individual citizens of the state. Mont. Const. Art. IX, Sec. 7; *see also*, Mont. Code Ann., § 87-1-107. Montana further constitutionally recognizes individual dignity and the sacrifices of military personnel, including veterans, and especially disabled veterans. Mont. Const. Art. II, Sec 35.

3. The Montana Legislature vested FWP, along with the Commission with duties and rule making authority to, among other things, define annual hunting seasons, regulate allowable hunting weaponry, and to define classes of

hunters, including making accommodations for disabled hunters. Mont. Code Ann., §§ 87-1-101 & 201.

4. The Montana Legislature recognizes that persons with disabilities are allowed certain exemptions from otherwise established hunting rules, including, among other accommodations, the ability to hunt and shoot from a vehicle and shooting a firearm from the “shoulder, berm, or barrow pit right-of-way of a public highway.” Mont. Code Ann., § 87-2-803.

5. FWP recognizes that persons with disabilities are allowed certain exemptions from otherwise established hunting rules, including, among others, the same accommodations referenced in the preceding paragraph. Mont. Admin. R. 12.3.106 (2000).

6. Neither Mont. Code Ann., § 87-2-803, nor Mont. Admin. R. 12.3.106 expressly allows or prohibits the use of a crossbow by disabled archers during the regular archery season.

7. The Commission is required to publish annual and bi-annual rules setting out hunting regulations. The Commission did that for the 2021 Deer, Elk and Antelope seasons, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT 1** (2021-dea-regulations-final-for-web.pdf@mt.gov).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Only Deer, Elk and Antelope 2021 regulations are referenced herein. However, the same restrictions and exclusions apply to all big game archery hunting in Montana.

8. The 2021 Deer, Elk and Antelope rules published by the Commission expressly prohibit the use of a crossbow during the regular archery season. **Ex. 1, pp. 22 & 25.** No exceptions are made for disabled individuals whose disability prevents them from using a “longbow, flatbow, recurve bow, compound bow, or any combination of these designs,” that are expressly allowed by FWP during the regular archery season. **Ex. 1, p. 22.**

9. Mont. Code Ann., § 87-6-401(e), makes it a criminal offense to “use archery equipment that has been prohibited by rule of the commission.”

10. Archery hunting is the sort of activity described in 28 C.F.R. § 35.104(3).

11. Nearly every State permits some way for disabled hunters to use a crossbow to hunt during their respective archery seasons, yet none of them offer the same constitutional level protections that Montana purports to provide while banning these devices.

12. Plaintiffs are all among a class of disabled Montana citizens who, because of their disabilities, are unable to participate in Montana’s regular archery hunting season because they cannot physically operate archery equipment that is expressly allowed by FWP. They can, however, operate crossbows that are expressly prohibited during Montana’s archery hunting season. *See* Declaration of LTC Tim Andrew Gardipee (Ret.) [“Gardipee Declaration”]; Declaration of Bruno

Friia [“Friia Declaration”]; Declaration of Brad Molnar [“Molnar Declaration”]; and Declaration of David Helmers [“Helmers Declaration”], all filed concurrently and in support of this Complaint.

13. Despite the important status of hunting to every Montana hunter and Montana’s outdoor and hunting culture, the State of Montana through FWP implemented and enforces rules and regulations that discriminate against and exclude Plaintiffs and other similarly situated disabled individuals by precluding them from enjoying a major life activity, participation in archery hunting because of their disabilities. Because of their disabilities, Plaintiffs have each been deprived of the ability to engage in other major life activities that would allow them to hunt during the regular archery season.<sup>2</sup> Lt. Col. Gardipee cannot kneel or stand, both of which are essential and major life activities necessary to operating archery equipment allowed by the State and FWP. (Gardipee Declaration at ¶ 5). Because of their disabilities, none of the Plaintiffs possess the physical strength to use archery equipment authorized by FWP. (Gardipee Declaration at ¶ 5; Friia Declaration at ¶¶ 3-5; Molnar Declaration at ¶ 3-4; Helmers Declaration at ¶ 3-5). Kneeling, standing, pulling, and the like, are major life activities that Plaintiffs no longer enjoy. The deprivation of those day-to-day activities resulted from their

---

<sup>2</sup> Under the ADA, “major life activities include, but are not limited to, caring for oneself, performing manual tasks, seeing, hearing, eating, sleeping, walking, standing, lifting, bending, speaking, breathing, learning, reading, concentrating, thinking, communicating, and working.” 42 U.S.C. § 12102(2)

injuries. The cause of that deprivation should not then result in the loss at the hand of the State of their ability to participate in a constitutionally protected right - the right to hunt - by the State and FWP's refusal to provide reasonable accommodations. Neither the State of Montana nor FWP has provided reasonable accommodations to disabled individuals who are, as a result of their disabilities, physically unable to operate archery equipment allowed by FWP and Commission regulations.

14. Legislation (SB 111) was proposed during the 2021 Montana Legislative Session that would have provided reasonable accommodation to Plaintiffs and other similarly situated disabled Montanans who are unable as a result of their disabilities to use accepted archery equipment and would have allowed the use of crossbows during Montana's regular archery season. A true and correct copy of SB 111 is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT 2**. SB 111 was defeated thereby precluding the Legislature's ability to rectify a wrong and exercise its responsibility to provide reasonable accommodations under the ADA.

15. Each Plaintiff owns or is able and willing to purchase alternative archery equipment - crossbows - that they can physically use to participate in Montana's archery season. Each Plaintiff made a reasonable accommodation request to FWP for permission to use this equipment in Montana, but Defendants promptly denied each request without thorough evaluation or review. True and

correct copies of Plaintiffs' requests and FWP's Denial Letters are attached to each of the Plaintiffs' Declarations. Plaintiffs Molnar and Friia also submitted a complaint regarding the same ADA issue to the United States Department of Justice ["USDOJ"]. Their complaints were ultimately referred by the USDOJ to the United States Department of Interior. To date no action has been taken on those Federal complaints. Further requests to Defendants for reasonable accommodations that would allow Plaintiffs and other similarly situated disabled individuals to enjoy their Constitutionally protected right to hunt would be a fruitless effort, especially as those requests relate to the 2021 archery season.

16. Montana's 2021 regular archery season commences on September 4, 2021, and closes on October 8, 2021. **Ex. 1, p. 11.**

17. Defendants' treatment of the Plaintiffs, and all other disabled individuals presently unable to operate allowed archery equipment, seeking to archery hunt in Montana violates federal and state anti-discrimination laws. The ability to hunt during the archery season is a major life activity afforded by the State and guaranteed by Montana's constitution of which Plaintiffs have been deprived. Therefore, Plaintiffs now seek injunctive, declaratory and additional relief including damages (compensatory and nominal), and attorneys' fees and costs for the discrimination and lack of accommodation they suffered.



### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

18. Plaintiffs' claims arise under the Americans with Disabilities Act, § 42 U.S.C.A. § 12101, et seq. ["ADA"], the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C.A. § 701, et seq., and the Federal Declaratory Judgments Act, 28 U.S.C.A. § 2201, et seq., and accordingly this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343(a)(3) & (4).

19. Venue is proper in the Federal District Court Montana, Missoula Division pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and D. Mont. L.R. 3.2(b).

### **THE PARTIES**

20. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations stated in Paragraphs 1 – 19, above, as if fully restated herein.

#### **Lt. Col. (Ret.) Tim A. Gardipee**

21. Tim A. Gardipee, Lt. Col. (Ret.), is an individual residing in Missoula, Montana and is a qualified member of two federally recognized Native American Tribes (the Fort Belknap Assiniboiné and Gros Ventre and Turtle Mountain Chippewa. He is of suitable age and capacity to bring this action. )Gardipee Declaration at ¶¶ 1 - 3).

22. Lt. Col. (Ret.) Gardipee is a person with a disability as that term is defined by the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12132; 28 C.F.R § 35.108(a)(1). His disability is the result of a neurological vaccine injury (Transverse Myelitis C-4 Paralysis)

due to complications from a previous military parachute crash landing in 2005. (Gardipee Declaration at ¶¶ 4, 6-8).

23. Lt. Col. (Ret.) Gardipee is wheelchair bound. His disabilities preclude him from engaging in major life activities, including kneeling, standing, walking (he is unable to stand or walk without a walker, and then only momentarily) and pulling, and his constitutionally protected right to archery hunt during Montana's regular archery season, a sport he enjoyed for years prior to his injuries. He is physically unable to operate archery equipment allowed by FWP. (Gardipee Declaration at ¶¶ 4, 11-13).

24. Lt. Col. Gardipee's hunting history, injuries and other testimony supporting this Complaint are included in the Gardipee Declaration filed concurrently herewith.

### **Bruno Friia**

25. Bruno Friia ["Friia"] is an 80 year old individual residing in Missoula, Montana. He is of suitable age and capacity to file this suit. (Friia Declaration at ¶¶ 1 - 2).

26. Mr. Friia is a person with a disability as that term is defined by the ADA. 42 U.S.C.S. § 12132; 28 C.F.R § 35.108(a)(1).

27. Mr. Friia's disability is a result of multiple rotator cuff surgeries. making pulling and properly holding a bow, even a modified bow, impossible or

extremely and unreasonably difficult, and his disability prevents him from engaging major life activities including his physical ability to pull and properly hold archery equipment allowed by the State and FWP, and his constitutionally protected right to hunt during Montana's archery season, an activity he engaged since 1964. (Friia Declaration at ¶¶3 - 5).

28. Mr. Friia's hunting history, injuries and other testimony supporting this Complaint are included in the Friia Declaration filed concurrently herewith.

**Brad Molnar**

29. Brad Molnar is individual residing in Billings, Montana. He is an elected official serving in the Montana State Senate but appears in this action in his individual capacity and not his official capacity. He is of suitable age and capacity to file this suit. (Molnar Declaration at ¶¶1 - 2).

30. Brad Molnar is a person with a disability as that term is defined by the ADA. 42 U.S.C.S. § 12132; 28 C.F.R § 35.108(a)(1).

31. Mr. Molnar's disability is a result of a devastating horse riding accident that resulted in significant and progressive shoulder damage making pulling and properly holding a bow, even a modified bow, impossible or extremely and unreasonably difficult. (Molnar Declaration at ¶¶3 - 4, 21-23).

32. Mr. Molnar's disability precludes him from engaging in major life activities, including pulling and properly holding archery equipment authorized by

the State of Montana and FWP, and his Constitutionally protected right to during Montana's archery season, a sport he enjoyed since his youth but can no longer enjoy because of his injuries. He is physically unable to operate archery equipment allowed by FWP. (Molnar Declaration at ¶¶ 4, 21-23).

33. Mr. Molnar's hunting history, injuries and other testimony supporting this Complaint are included in the Molnar Declaration filed concurrently herewith.

**David Helmers**

34. David Helmers is individual residing in Plentywood, Montana. He is of suitable age and capacity to file this suit. (Helmerts Declaration at ¶¶ 1 - 2).

35. David Helmers is a military veteran who carries a 100% disability rating. He is a person with a disability as that term is defined by the ADA. 42 U.S.C.S. § 12132; 28 C.F.R § 35.108(a)(1).

36. Mr. Helmers' disability is a result of two cervical spine surgeries (four level with metal plate and screws, front and rear), one rotator cuff surgery, one low back surgery, one knee replacement, one knee scope and a dorsal column stimulator implant, and carpal tunnel surgery on both hands. (Helmerts Declaration at ¶3).

37. Mr. Helmers' disability precludes him from pulling more than eight (8) pounds vertically or horizontally which are essential and major life activities and physical requirements necessary to operate a recurve or compound bow

precluding him from exercising his Constitutionally protected right to during Montana's archery season, a sport he enjoyed since the late 1960s, but can no longer enjoy because of his injuries. He is physically unable to operate archery equipment allowed by FWP. (Helmerts Declaration at ¶¶ 5-9).

38. Mr. Helmers' hunting history, injuries and other testimony supporting this Complaint are included in the Helmers Declaration filed concurrently herewith.

**COUNT ONE**  
**DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND CLAIM FOR DAMAGES**  
**(REHABILITATION ACT & AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT)**

39. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations stated in Paragraphs 1 - 38, as if fully restated herein.

40. The ADA defines "disability" as "a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities of such individual." 42 U.S.C.A. § 12102(1)(A); 28 C.F.R. § 35.108(a)(1).

41. The Rehabilitation Act provides in relevant part that "[n]o otherwise qualified individual with a disability in the United States ... shall solely by reason of her or his disability, be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance...." 29 U.S.C.A. § 794(a).

42. The procedures and remedies under the Rehabilitation Act parallel those of the ADA and the jurisprudence of each can be used to interpret the other.

43. Major life activities “include, but are not limited to, caring for oneself, performing manual tasks, seeing, hearing, eating, sleeping, walking, standing, lifting, bending, speaking, breathing, learning, reading, concentrating, thinking, communicating, and working.” 42 U.S.C. § 12102(2).

44. Hunting and fishing are recognized “major life activities” for Montanans and as such are afforded Constitutional and Legislative protection. Mont. Const. Article IX, Sec. 7; *see also*, Mont. Code Ann., § 87-1-107.

45. Congress expressly intended that the definition of “disability ... be construed in favor of broad coverage of individuals” under the ADA. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12102(4)(A).

46. The State of Montana and FWP are “public entities” within the meaning of the ADA. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12131(1).

47. A “qualified individual with a disability” as that term is defined by the ADA is an “individual with a disability who, with or without reasonable modifications to rules, policies, or practices ... meets the essential eligibility requirements for the receipt of services or the participation in programs or activities provided by the public entity.” 42 U.S.C.A. § 12131(2).

48. The ADA provides that “[s]ubject to the provisions of this subchapter, no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity.” 42 U.S.C.A. § 12132; *see also* Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C.A. § 794(a).

49. It is incumbent on the State of Montana and FWP to provide reasonable accommodations to disabled individuals, including Plaintiffs, whose disability precludes them from engaging in major life activities, including those recognized by the ADA and Rehabilitation Act which prevent them from “participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity,” and including archery hunting during Montana’s regular archery season. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12132; Rehabilitation Act, Act, 29 U.S.C.A. § 794(a); 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7)(i).

50. An accommodation allowing Plaintiffs and other similarly situated disabled hunters to use a crossbow during Montana’s regular archery season is reasonable under the circumstances.

51. The use of a crossbow by those disabled individuals, including Plaintiffs, who because of their disabilities are unable to utilize archery equipment allowed by the State of Montana and FWP (“longbow, flatbow, recurve bow, compound bow, or any combination of these designs,”) is an accommodation akin

to the example set forth in 28 C.F.R. § 35.104(3) (“Acquisition or modification of equipment or devices.”)

52. Accommodating the limitations of individuals who have difficulty with grasping and squeezing is very commonplace. For example, under the ADA Accessibility Guidelines public accommodations must replace doorknobs with door handles that can be push opened without a tight grasping and squeezing maneuver. *See* 2010 ADAAG Advisory 404.2.7. Similarly, operable parts of equipment must not require more than five (5) pounds of strength. *See* 2010 ADAAG 309.4.

53. Authorization to use the Crossbow is a necessary change in Defendants’ policies, procedures, or rules relating to authorized archery equipment available to disabled Montana archery hunters unable to utilize the archery equipment currently authorized by Defendants.

54. The State of Montana has not, despite requests from each of the Plaintiffs, provided Plaintiffs or other similarly situated disabled individuals, with reasonable accommodations that would allow Plaintiffs to archery hunt in the regular archery season. (Gardipee Declaration at ¶¶15-16, 30-32; Molnar Declaration at ¶¶10-11, 24-28, 33; Friia Declaration at ¶¶11-12, 30-32; Helmers Declaration at ¶¶11-12, 29-33).



55. The State of Montana and FWP, by refusing reasonable accommodations (both by existing regulation and by the Legislatures refusal to pass legislation that would provide reasonable accommodations) including the use of crossbows during Montana's regular archery season to Plaintiffs and other similarly situated disabled individuals, violated and continues to violate the provisions of Mont. Const. Article IX, Sec. 7, the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C.A. § 701, et seq., and the Americans with Disabilities Act and its accompanying Federal regulations.

56. The State of Montana uses eligibility criteria that tend to screen out people with disabilities. 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(8). Specifically, by not permitting the use of alternative auxiliary aids or services, the State of Montana is impermissibly screening out individuals with disabilities, including Plaintiffs.

57. The State of Montana and FWP receive Federal financial assistance through the Pittman-Robinson Restoration Act applicable to its hunting statutes and regulations, including Federal assistance for public land use. 16 U.S.C.A., §§ 669, et seq.

58. By virtue of its receipt of Federal assistance, neither the State of Montana nor FWP are protected by immunity from the action stated in this Complaint. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12132; 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000d-7.

59. Both by existing statutes and regulations, and by the Legislature's failure to pass legislation that would have provided reasonable accommodation, the State of Montana and FWP have acted with deliberate indifference to Plaintiffs' disabilities that preclude them from utilizing archery equipment accepted by FWP and the Commission and by refusing reasonable accommodations to Plaintiffs that would allow them to participate archery hunting during the regular archery season. The State of Montana and FWP acted, or in the case of Plaintiffs, refused to act with full knowledge that there was a substantial likelihood that they were infringing on a federally protected right, and were provided notice by each of the Plaintiffs that each was in need of a reasonable accommodation.

60. This action raises and recognizes the existence of an actual case and controversy regarding Defendants' adherence to the ADA and its accompanying regulations.

61. Plaintiffs are entitled to a judgment from this Court declaring that Defendants' actions in failing to provide reasonable accommodations (the use of a crossbow) to allow Plaintiffs the ability to enjoy a major life event guaranteed and protected by Mont. Const. Art. IX, Sec. 7 (archery hunting during Montana's regular archery season) violate the Rehabilitation Act, the ADA and accompanying regulations. 28 U.S.C.A., § 2201.

62. Plaintiffs are entitled to nominal or compensatory damages and other remedies authorized by Congress resulting from the Defendants' deliberate indifference to Plaintiffs' federal rights protected by the Americans with Disabilities Act, all in an amount to be proven at trial. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12133; 29 U.S.C.A. § 794(a).

63. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover their reasonable costs, expenses and attorney fees as a result of Defendants' violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12205.

**COUNT TWO**  
**(TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER)**

64. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations stated in Paragraphs 1 - 63, as if fully restated herein.

65. The right to hunt and fish are rights recognized and protected by Montana's Constitution. Mont. Const. Article IX, Sec. 7. The Montana Legislature purports to afford Montanans the same rights and protections guaranteed by the Montana Constitution. Mont. Code Ann., § 87-1-107.

66. Montana also constitutionally recognizes individual dignity and the sacrifices of military personnel, including veterans, and especially disabled veterans. Mont. Const. Art. II, Sec 35.

67. Despite Plaintiffs' requests for reasonable accommodations to mitigate the impact of Defendants' rules and regulations prohibiting Plaintiffs' use

of crossbows during Montana’s regular archery season, Defendants have violated the provisions of Mont. Const. Article IX, Sec. 7, Mont. Const. Art. II, Sec 35, the Rehabilitation Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act and accompanying Federal regulations.

68. Plaintiffs’ are likely to prevail on the merits of their claims at trial. They each suffer a “disability” as that term is defined by the ADA and its accompanying regulations (42 U.S.C.A. § 12102(1)(A); 28 C.F.R § 35.108(a)(1); they are each, because of their disabilities deprived of “major life activities as that term is defined by the ADA (42 U.S.C.A. § 12102(2)(A) – kneeling, standing, pulling - that prevent them from engaging in the benefits of the services, programs, or activities provided by the State of Montana and FWP, and are further being deprived of a “major life activity” guaranteed by the Montana Constitution and legislative enactments. Mont. Const. Article IX, Sec. 7; *see also*, Mont. Code Ann., § 87-1-107. Defendants are “public entities” within the meaning of the ADA. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12131(1). Each of the Plaintiffs is a “qualified individual with a disability” as that term is defined by the ADA. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12131(2). And despite requests from each Plaintiff, Defendants have failed and refused to provide Plaintiffs with a “reasonable accommodation” that would allow them, like all other Montana archery hunters, to participate in Montana’s regular archery season. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12132; 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(b)(7)(i).

69. Plaintiffs are likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief because of Defendants' violation of the Rehabilitation Act and the ADA by precluding Plaintiffs through Defendants' refusal to provide reasonable accommodations to compensate for Plaintiffs' inability to perform major life activities as a result of their disabilities (kneeling, standing, pulling, and the like), and from their inability to enjoy Montana's constitutionally protected major life event, archery hunting during Montana's regular archery season, a sacrosanct right of all Montanans; by Defendants' violation of the ADA by their refusal to provide reasonable accommodations (the use of crossbows) that would allow Plaintiffs to enjoy, like all other Montanans, the ability to archery hunt during Montana's regular archery season, particularly during the 2021 regular archery season which commences on September 4, 2021; by the Montana Legislature's failure to pass proposed legislation that would have provided reasonable accommodation; and with respect to Plaintiffs Gardipee and Helmers, by depriving them of their constitutionally protected status as military veterans. Mont. Const. Art. II, Sec 35.

70. The balance of equities related to Plaintiffs' Application for Temporary Restraining Order, Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief is strongly tipped in Plaintiffs' favor. Each Plaintiff, because of his disability and loss of ability to engage in certain major life activities (kneeling, standing, pulling,

and the like) and because of Defendants' refusal to accommodate reasonable requests, is unable to participate in another major life event – the ability to archery hunt during Montana's regular archery season – a right protected by Montana's Constitution. Mont. Const. Article IX, Sec. 7. The balance of equities are further tipped in Plaintiffs' favor as a result of Defendants' violation of the Rehabilitation Act and the ADA, despite requests for reasonable accommodation by each of the Plaintiffs.

71. Plaintiffs' action and request for injunctive relief, first in the form of a Temporary Restraining Order, is in the public interest. Many disabled Montana archery hunters are similarly situated with Plaintiffs in that they cannot hunt during Montana's regular archery season since their disabilities preclude them from their ability to use archery equipment allowed by Defendants ("longbow, flatbow, recurve bow, compound bow, or any combination of these designs"). Compelling Defendants to provide Plaintiffs with reasonable accommodation – the use of crossbows during Montana's regular archery season – will serve the public interest by also allowing similarly situated disabled Montana archery hunters with the same accommodations afforded by the Court's granting the requested relief to Plaintiffs.

72. Defendants have been provided with a copy of this Complaint and have been notified of its filing. (Rule 65 Certification, filed concurrently with this Complaint).

73. Pursuant to Rule 65, F.R.Civ.P., Plaintiffs request that this Court issue a Temporary Restraining Order directing Defendants to provide reasonable accommodation to Plaintiffs by allowing their use of crossbows during Montana's regular archery season commencing on September 4, 2021, and then directing Defendants to appear and show cause, if any there may be, why an injunction pendente lite should not issue continuing the Temporary Restraining Order during the pendency of this action enjoining Defendants, and all of their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of said injunction pendente lite by personal service or otherwise, from interfering with Plaintiffs' ability to use crossbows during the regular archery season as a reasonable accommodation to them allowing them to enjoy a major life event available to all Montanans – archery hunting during Montana's regular archery season.

74. To the extent that there is any question about how the State of Montana and FWP will be able to “screen” qualifying applicants, the State already has a procedure utilized for determination of disability that is readily adaptable to certifying a disabled archery hunter's inability to use authorized archery equipment but who is able to use a crossbow. Mont. Code Ann., § 87-2-803; Mont. Admin. R. 12.3.106 (2000).

**COUNT THREE**  
**(INJUNCTION PENDENTE LITE AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION)**

75. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations stated in Paragraphs 1 - 74, as if fully restated herein.

76. For the same reasons articulated in Count Two of this Complaint, pursuant to Rule 65, M.R.Civ.P., Plaintiffs request that the Temporary Restraining Order be superseded first with an Injunction Pendente Lite, and ultimately with a Permanent Injunction enjoining Defendants, and all of their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of said Injunction Pendente Lite or Permanent Injunction by personal service or otherwise, from interfering with Plaintiffs' ability to use crossbows during the regular archery season as a reasonable accommodation to them allowing them to enjoy a major life event available to all Montanans – archery hunting during Montana's regular archery season commencing on September 4, 2021, and in subsequent regular Montana archery seasons.

**COUNT FOUR**  
**(ATTORNEY FEES)**

77. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations stated in Paragraphs 1 - 76, as if fully restated herein.

78. The Court should exercise the discretion conferred on it by Congress and include in its Order an award of Plaintiffs' reasonable costs, expenses and



attorney fees incurred in prosecuting this action. 42 U.S.C.A. § 12205; 29 U.S.C.S. § 794a(b); 28 C.F.R. § 35.175.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:

1. That as to Count One, judgment be entered against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiffs for Defendants' violation of the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, and awarding Plaintiffs nominal and compensatory damages, as appropriate, together with their reasonable costs, expenses and attorney fees incurred in prosecuting this action;

2. That as to Count Two, the Court issue a Temporary Restraining Order directing Defendants to provide reasonable accommodation to Plaintiffs by allowing their use of crossbows during Montana's regular archery season commencing on September 4, 2021, and then directing Defendants to appear and show cause, if any there may be, why an injunction pendente lite should not issue continuing the Temporary Restraining Order during the pendency of this action enjoining Defendants, and all of their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of said injunction pendente lite by personal service or otherwise, from interfering with Plaintiffs' ability to use crossbows during the regular archery season as a reasonable accommodation to them allowing them to enjoy a major life event

available to all Montanans – archery hunting during Montana’s regular archery season.

3. That as to Count Three, the Court continue the provisions of the Temporary Restraining Order in the form of an Injunction Pendente Lite and ultimately in the form of a Permanent Injunction enjoining Defendants, and all of their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of said Injunction Pendente Lite or Permanent Injunction by personal service or otherwise, from interfering with Plaintiffs’ ability to use crossbows during the regular archery season as a reasonable accommodation to them allowing them to enjoy a major life event available to all Montanans – archery hunting during Montana’s regular archery season commencing on September 4, 2021, and in subsequent regular Montana archery seasons.

4. That as to Count Four, the Court should exercise the discretion conferred on it by Congress and include in its Order an award of Plaintiffs’ reasonable costs, expenses and attorney fees incurred in prosecuting this action.

5. That the Court award Plaintiffs their reasonable costs expenses and attorney fees incurred in prosecuting this action as may be allows by statutes referenced above, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Montana Local District Court Rules, or as a matter of equity.

6. That the Court grant Plaintiffs such additional and further relief as may be just and proper under the circumstances.

DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2021.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN LAW PARTNERS, P.C.

/S/ Bruce A. Fredrickson  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs